

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,297	08/22/2001		Dwayne Lacey	100 007 CIP	9672
25191	7590	12/09/2003		EXAMINER	
BURR & B PO BOX 706			DEMILLE, DANTON D		
SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				1764	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003

18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ſ	+ + + +	Application No.	Applicant(s)	e
		09/935,297	LACEY, DWAYNE	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Danton DeMille	3764	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	<u>eptember 2003</u> .		
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		•
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			\$
	Disposition of Claims			
	4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-33 is/are pending in the app	plication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration		
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-33</u> is/are rejected.			
	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
	,	r election requirement	·	
	Application Papers			
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		I to by the Eveminer	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			d).
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· ·		,
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
	application from the International Bureau		cen received in this Hatienal Glage	
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list			
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.			
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application ha	as been received.	
	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of th			
	Attachment(s)			
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interv	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
١	•			

Application/Control Number: 09/935,297

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robbins et al. in view of Rabin et al. or Blachly. Even though applicant claims priority to 09/335,714, the claims in the instant application are drawn to the new matter including the vibrator handle that was introduced for the first time on 8/22/2001. Therefore, the effective filing date for these claims is 8/22/2001. The invention disclosed in the patent to Robbins was known to others on 7/21/2000 more than one year before the filing date of the instant application.

Robbins teaches a head massaging device comprising a plurality of resilient fingers having the head receiving space and opening claimed. Robbins may not teach an electric vibrator in combination however, in the art of head massaging both Rabin and Blachly teach such a convention. Both Rabin and Blachly teach head massage devices with resilient fingers for massaging the scalp including vibrators to enhance the massage. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Robbins to include a vibrator as taught by Rabin and Blachly to enhance the massaging effect on the scalp.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/935,297

Art Unit: 3764

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 15-17, 23, 26, 27, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rabin et al. Rabin teaches a plurality of resilient fingers 12 and an electric vibrator including motor 28 including an eccentric weight. As shown in figure 8, the fingers form a head receiving space and opening as claimed.

Claims 1-3, 7-10, 15-19, 23, 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Taylor. Taylor teaches a plurality of resilient fingers 16 and an electric vibrator 14. As shown in figure 1, fingers 16 form the head receiving space and the opening as claimed. The fingers 16 comprise bending wire 45.

Claims 1, 4, 6-8, 10, 13-17, 19, 22-27, 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erickson in view of Rabin et al. Erickson teaches a plurality of resilient fingers S and an electric vibrator M, 14 coupled to the opposite ends of the fingers. While it is not clear if the fingers of Erickson has the claimed shape there appears to be no unobviousness to shape the fingers as desired to best conform to the shape of a person's head. Rabin teaches an equivalent head massaging device with fingers that are a little longer such that they curve inwardly at the ends to have the claimed shape. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Erickson to shape the fingers to be a little longer as taught by Rabin so as to cover more of the head and massage more area.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 32 above, and further in view of Rowe. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify Erickson to include a spherical end to the fingers as taught by Rowe to prevent injury to the skin and to allow smooth movement of the

Application/Control Number: 09/935,297

Art Unit: 3764

fingers over the skin.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

ddd
4 December, 2003

(703) 308-3713

Fax: (703) 872-9306

danton.demille@uspto.gov

Danton DeMille Primary Examiner Art Unit 3764